UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) DOCKET NO. 3:08-cr-219
VS.)
EUGENE MARTA LANEY,)
Defendant.)))

TRANSCRIPT OF SUPERVISED RELEASED REVOCATION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT J. CONRAD, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, JANUARY 26, 2021 AT 3:50 P.M.

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Government:

ERIK AUGUST LINDAHL, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney 227 West Trade Street, Suite 1700 Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

On Behalf of the Defendant:

COREY CHRISTOPHER McMANUS, ESQ., 309 Lancaster Avenue Monroe North Carolina 28112

LAURA ANDERSEN, RMR
Court Reporter
PO Box 23343
Charlotte, North Carolina 28227

1

PROCEEDINGS

2

THE COURT: Mr. McManus, when you're ready just let

3

4

me know.

MR. McMANUS: Yes, sir.

5

Your Honor, we're ready to proceed.

6

United States V. Eugene Marta Laney on a petition to revoke

THE COURT: All right. We are here in the matter of

7 8

supervised release in which the government is alleging five

9

different violations of the conditions of supervised release;

10

two in the petition, and three in the addendum.

11

Mr. McManus, are these violations contested?

12

MR. McMANUS: Your Honor, we are admitting to the

13

first two violations. And from my understanding, the

14

government will be dismissing Violations Three, Four, and Five

15

that appeared on the addendum.

16

MR. LINDAHL: That's correct, Your Honor.

17

THE COURT: Very well. Then based upon the

18

admissions to One and Two, and the information contained in

19

the petition, the Court will find that those violations have

2.0

been established.

2.1

Mr. McManus, in light of that finding, the Court is

22

MR. McMANUS: Yes, Your Honor.

glad to hear from you on what it should do.

23 24

There is an agreement between the defendant and the

25

government in this matter. The agreement that was

contemplated for the Court, this is a Grade A violation, and Mr. Laney's guideline range is 30 to 37 months. The agreement would be the top end of the guideline range of 37 months, followed by an additional 24 months of supervised release.

The government has allowed me to say on the record that if Mr. Laney does complete that first 18 months successfully, and he is in compliance with all the terms, and there is no objection from his probation officer, that they would not be in objection to an early termination at that time. They allowed me to put that on the record.

Along with this agreement, Your Honor, there are some charges in Mecklenburg County court that the district attorney's office is dismissing. There were some drug charges that Mr. Laney was charged with due to the conduct that led to this violation being filed. And the government has also informed me that they will not be seeking a federal indictment based on the conduct of this supervised release violation being filed.

Right now Mr. Laney is a resident of Charlotte,
North Carolina. He actually did fairly well on supervised
release, Your Honor. He was on supervised release — this
period commenced June 3, 2017, and he was arrested around
March 13, 2020. So he completed roughly 33 months on
supervised release before that happened.

His wife has been in contact with me and has been

2.

2.0

2.1

very supportive in this matter. When he was first put on supervised release he was actually staying with his wife, and I think that was in the Eastern District, and his supervision went well.

Your Honor, he came here to Charlotte and things didn't go as well. His wife actually told me, if he would still have been home this probably wouldn't have happened. She is willing to let him come back home. So the plan is to do the active portion and then have the supervised release transferred. I think that she is in the Middle District now. He will try to have the supervised release transferred there, but I do think that he would do well.

Your Honor, we're asking the Court to accept the agreement between the government and Mr. Laney in this matter.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Mr. Laney, you don't have to say anything but if there is anything you wish to say I'll be glad to hear from you.

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Lindahl.

MR. LINDAHL: Your Honor, the defense has accurately captured the joint agreement in this case.

Your Honor, there's a lot of heroin found in the child's bedroom. The government believes this is an appropriate disposition. We're asking the Court to accept the

agreement.

2.0

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Laney, if you would please stand.

I have found that allegation one and two have been established. I'm going to revoke supervised release, order a term of 37 months imprisonment to be followed by an additional term of supervised release of 18 months. The parties indicated a joint recommendation of 24 months with the possibility of an 18-month early termination. Receiving that recommendation but applying it slightly differently, if Mr. Laney complies with the conditions of supervised release in 18 months it's over. If you don't comply with it there will be the ability of the Court to extend it.

And so, 37-month sentence, 18 months to follow a second term of supervised release. That's the decision of the Court. I believe that is sufficient but not greater than necessary to accomplish the sentencing purposes made applicable to supervised release hearings.

You can appeal that decision. Any notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days from the entry of judgment. If you are unable to pay the cost of an appeal, you may apply for leave to appeal with no cost to you. If you request, the Clerk of Court will prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf.

I recommend that you talk to Mr. McManus about your

1	appeal rights, but do you understand them as I just explained
2	them?
3	THE DEFENDANT: (Nodding head affirmatively.)
4	THE COURT: Anything further from either side?
5	MR. McMANUS: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
6	MR. LINDAHL: Your Honor, Probation Riley has a
7	matter related to property seized.
8	PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, we did a warrantless
9	search in March. We seized a cell phone. As part of our
10	evidence disposition, I just need to get that over to the
11	correct party. Can I give you this form?
12	THE COURT: You can.
13	PROBATION OFFICER: I'll have it turned over to
14	Mr. McManus and returned to the family. We're fine with that.
15	THE COURT: Very well. This matter is concluded.
16	Mr. McManus is remanded at this time.
17	(The matter is concluded at 3:58 p.m.)
18	* * * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 <u>REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE</u>

I, LAURA ANDERSEN, RMR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes to the best of my ability.

Dated this the 9th day of February, 2022.

S/Laura Andersen LAURA ANDERSEN, RMR Registered Merit Reporter